The Italian Web Tax between National Ambitions and the International Context

Avv. Paolo de' Capitani di Vimercate

p.decapitani@uckmar.com





Premise

- Current rules still based on the **principles** set under the League of Nations (Bruins-Einaudi-Seligman-Stamp;1923):
 - The income tax is a tax on production, not consumption
 - For the sake of administration the most convenient minimum threshold for taxing foreign enterprises is the existence of a p.e.
 - Taxation in the <u>source</u> country based on its accounts on an annual basis
 - p.e. also represented the best index of economic allegiance with the local jurisdiction
- The digital revolution: enterprises can enter markets from remote, without heavily relying on physical presence
 - Market jurisdictions are not only the destination of goods/services, they also produce data which are then elaborated (abroad) and contribute to the creation of value
 - Issue: should the collection of data be considered as contributing to the creation of value? Art. 5 issue
 - If so, in what proportion? Art. 7 issue, which is actually fundamental (see League of Nations 1923)

Beginning of the discussions (almost ten years ago)

- BEPS: «Profits should be taxed where economic activities deriving the profits are performed and where value is created»
 - No revolution: the income tax still is a tax on production:
 - Work to be done in the following years to establish a new nexus
 - In alternative: withholding at source or equalization levy
- Permanent subcommittee May 2013: «MNEs do not pay a fair share, however legal their structures may be»
 - Issues in the parent companies' jurisdiction (USA) were the focus
 - But third parties were interested in the findings as well: minimization of the physical presence in the market jurisdictions – challenges on undisclosed p.e. were already in place in Italy and a few other countries
 - Local companies performing sales and marketing support, usually paid on a cost plus basis (occasionally coupled with a percentage on turnover) and bearing minimal or no risks

Multifaceted confrontations and current stalemate

- Recovery of State Aids by the EU Commission
 - Cases still pending
- Stiff reply by the Secretary of the Treasury:
 - No retroactive application of EU approach to arm's length/good faith-bad faith/institutional organization of the EU should not override bilateral agreements
- US Tax Reform of 2017
 - Letter by 5 Ministers of Finance (France, Germany, Italy, Spain and United Kingdom)
- EU Proposals of 2018
 - Provisional remedy: web tax
 - Final remedy: significant digital presence as a new nexus
 - EU is not united: some countries actually benefit of the status quo

Single States try and force the negotiations: Italy

- Early 2010s → challenges against undisclosed p.e. of foreign MNEs:
 - Not a transfer pricing challenge on the local entity (as it could/should be); most times dependent agent clause against the foreign entity/commissionaire arrangement, sometimes recharacterization of payments as royalties subject to WHT under art. 12
 - Undisclosed p.e. allows longer SOL, higher monetary sanctions, criminal charges
 - Settlements struck with Public Prosecutor in Milan most times (unlike in the Google case in France, Italian case law on p.e. based on a substantial approach, ever since Philip Morris in 2002)
- 2017: voluntary disclosure program for undisclosed p.e.
 - Reduction of monetary sanctions
 - No criminal charges
 - Attribution of profits based on cooperation between Tax Agency and Taxpayer
- Extension of domestic definition of p.e.: significant economic presence organized (so as to not trigger a physical presence)
 - Halfway b/w extension of nexus and antiavoidance
 - but what income?!?
- Finance bill for 2018: web tax (first edition) never implemented
- Finance bill for 2019: web tax (second edition) never implemented
- April 2019 (in view of EU Dir. 2455/17, effective from Jan. 1st 2021): duty on facilitators/intermediaries through digital platforms/web sites through which the sale of goods is made to report data of the sellers
 - Failure to report \rightarrow joint liability for VAT not paid by the seller
- Finance bill for 2020; web tax (third edition) in force as of Jan. 1st 2020 amends Finance Bill 2019

The Italian web tax (third edition), main features

- Obviously not an income tax, but rather a 3% levy on revenue deriving from specific activities:
 - Placing of targeted advertising through digital interfaces (softwares, web sites, apps)
 - Putting a digital interface at the disposal of its users so that they can be in contact and interact, also for the exchange of goods/services
 - fee based virtual clubs included
 - Transmission of data collected from the users of a digital interface while they use it
 - Numerous carve outs (Art. 1, par. 37bis of the Finance Bill 2019, as modified by the Finance Bill 2020): direct sale of goods/services, banking and financial sector, oil&gas
 - Infragroup transactions not taxable
- Thresholds:
 - → 750 mio euro of group ww revenue (previous year accrual basis)
 - 5,5 mio euro of revenue from relevant activities on Italian market (previous year)
- Taxable period: calendar year
- Territorial relevance: where the user is when connecting to the digital interface (par. 40bis: IP address... or any other geolocalization tool...?)
 - Privacy issues to be clarified

- Payment is due on February 16th 2021
- Tax return is due on March 31st 2021
- Appointment of a single entity within the group requested
- Foreign entities w/o p.e. must obtain a VAT number
 - Entities w/o p.e. and resident in a non EU-non EEA must appoint a tax representative
 - Local entities of the same group held jointly liable, regardless of their biz line
- Reassessment, collection, sanctions and litigation follow the rules on VAT, where feasible
- Specific bookkeeping on a monthly basis
- Implementing rules to be released by the Director of the Tax Agency (still to be seen; the tax is already in force though)
- Should be deductible under art. 99 ITA
 - Some incentive to locate regional entities in higher tax countries and get a deduction for any other web tax paid in other countries – US FTC then probably available?

Open issues of the IWT

- Unintended taxpayers (web sites, newspapers, intermediaries and other media already paying full income tax in the country, 5,5 mio is pretty low as a threshold and could capture bigger groups with negligible online activities)
- Cascading effect for online advertising services and articulated chains (spared under art. 3.3 of the EU Proposal)
- Tax on gross: effective burden varies across sectors/companies
- Shifting of the burden on smaller enterprises/advertisers and publishers expected
- Intermediary platforms that also make direct sales
 - Same good will undergo taxation or not: small enterprises trying to sell online through platforms will pay both the commission and (indirectly) the web tax
- «targeted» advertising

Separate bookkeeping: additional burden Mixed services: unclear whether the gross payment will be taxed in full/how to segment Territorial link is weak data of foreign tourist googling (for whatever) in Venice → IWT Data of Italian resident googling in Rome for French products → IWT Expected revenue: possibly overestimated Sunset clause is vague: OECD, EU, MLI? Risk of retaliatory measures: Section 301 of the US Trade Act

2020: a watershed year? ... not really, in the end

- Release of the OECD proposals expected
 - Next steps in June, July, November (Statement by the Inclusive Framework of Jan. 31st)
 - November 2020: release of the Blueprint on Pillar one and Pillar two
 - The US are still against Pilllar one, if not merely as a safe harbour (Pillar two would be more ok for them ... GILTI)
- Pillar one: new nexus and consequent additional taxing powers to market jurisdictions
 - amount A deviation from ALP as the substitute for the web tax
- Pillar two: minimum taxation of MNEs